



# GOOGLE EXPOSED AS EXTREMIST CULT

## Google Attempt to 'Fact Check' News Results Almost Exclusively Targets Conservative Media



Jeremie Lederman / Lederman Studio

by ALLUM BOKHARI | 10 Jan 2018 | 1879


**Analysis** from the Daily Caller shows that Google's new fact-checking feature, which displays "fact-checks" next to allegedly disputed stories in search results, almost exclusively targets conservative media.

It's already been a bad week for Google: explosive information released in James Damore's [class action lawsuit](#) has revealed an atmosphere of extreme progressive bias at the company, complete with the open shaming and intimidation of [conservatives](#) and [white males](#). Now there is evidence that the company's hyper-partisan bias is trickling down into its product.

Currently, when you search for a news site, for example, "Breitbart News," Google will show you a list of topics that the site has focused on, as well as a tab for "reviewed claims." These are stories that have been reviewed by "fact-checkers" like Snopes and Politifact.

Like Google, these so-called "impartial fact-checkers" claim to be unbiased, but have a history of partisan favoritism. Snopes, for example, is [staffed](#) with rabid anti-Trumpers, while Politifact is [funded](#) by a Clinton Foundation donor and [routinely](#) comes to empirically dubious conclusions that typically favor Democrats.

*advertisement*



Not all news sites have a “reviewed claims” tab under their name, however. Some just have “writes about,” with no reviewed claims. And yes, there’s a pattern. Virtually all the websites affected by Google’s “fact-checking” system are conservative or right-wing, with the exception of Upworthy and, according to the Daily Caller report, Occupy Democrats.

After playing around myself, here are the websites I found that trigger Google's fact-check feature. Almost universally conservative websites, but also white supremacists... and Upworthy. [pic.twitter.com/4KQAHmxdag](https://pic.twitter.com/4KQAHmxdag)

— Alex Griswold (@HashtagGriswold) [January 9, 2018](#)

I know the liberal reaction will be "hurr durr, those sites do need fact-checking." Let's allow for that. These are the sites that DON'T trigger any sort of fact-check, and I promise you they absolutely should [pic.twitter.com/Pktf8wdJon](https://pic.twitter.com/Pktf8wdJon)

— Alex Griswold (@HashtagGriswold) [January 9, 2018](#)

Among the other news sites not fact-checked by Google:

- CNN ([busted](#) for fake news about Donald Trump Jr's alleged connections to Wikileaks)
- *Rolling Stone* (their “Rape on Campus” [story](#) is among the most significant cases of fake news in recent memory)
- NBC (known for their [fib-telling](#) anchors)
- ABC (had to [suspend](#) Brian Ross for spreading fake news)

But perhaps this isn't Google's fault. It could be the fact-checkers, right? Maybe they're just so biased, they never fact-check the left wing and mainstream media?


Wrong. Even they can't be that brazen. The really damning detail for Google is that claims made by outlets like CNN and MSNBC *have* been fact-checked before. Claims made by MSNBC's Rachel Maddow have been rated as “false” by Politifact on four occasions since 2010, “mostly false” on five occasions, and “pants on fire” (the least truthful rating) on one. Chris Matthews has received two “false” ratings and one “mostly false” rating in the same period. CNN's Don Lemon received a “false” rating in 2014 for claiming he was able to “go and buy an automatic weapon.”

This meets the definition of a “reviewed claim.” Lemon made a factual claim live on CNN, it was reviewed by a third-party fact-checker, and found to be false. So why doesn't it appear under a “reviewed claims” tab when you Google CNN?

There's only one explanation: Google is even more biased than the fact-checkers.

Furthermore, as the Daily Caller notes, some of the “claims” listed by Google are misrepresentations of conservative media.

For example, Google says that Breitbart claimed an illegal alien was charged with starting a California wildfire:



What the linked story *actually* claims is that an illegal alien was *suspected* of starting a California fire. That is a completely different claim.

Combined with the information released in the Damore lawsuit, Google’s brazen progressive bias has been laid bare for the world to see. And it’s still only Wednesday.

A screenshot of the footer of The Federalist website. On the left, there are four horizontal lines with the text "our latest", "most popular", "contributors", and "subscribe" centered between them. To the right of this is a vertical line, followed by a small icon and the text "The Federalist". Further right is a black search bar with the text "Search ...". Below the search bar is another vertical line, followed by a small icon and the text "The Federalist". To the right of this are four links, each with a small icon: "The Federalist RSS Feed", "Follow TheFederalist on Twitter", "Follow TheFederalist on Facebook", and "Follow the Federalist Radio Hour on iTunes". At the bottom left, there is a red rectangular button with the text "POLITICS" in white capital letters.